

Proposition 84 Implementation Grant Program, Round 2

Applicant Workshop

February 12, 2013 – Alhambra
February 14, 2013 – Sacramento






Agenda

- Welcome/Introductions
- PSP Overview
 - Eligibility Requirements
 - Proposal Evaluation
 - BMS/GRanTS
- Question and Answer

PSP Overview



Refer to both during preparation of application



Available Funding

- Round 2 - \$131M
 - Funding Match – 25% of total proposal cost
 - Approximately \$16M must be awarded to projects that help meet a critical water supply or water quality need of DACs
- Maximum award dependent on IRWM Funding Area (FA) and competition
 - See Table 2 (page 9) in PSP for anticipated FA allocations



Eligibility Requirements



Who May Apply

- Local public agency, or
- Non-profit organization – 501(c)(3)
- One proposal per IRWM Region
- Adopted IRWM Plan
 - Before September 30, 2008
 - Agree to update plan within 2 years of execution date
 - Undertake reasonable and feasible efforts to account for water-related needs of DACs within the IRWM region
 - On or after September 30, 2008
 - Plan meets Proposition 84 Plan Standards
 - Plan must be submitted for completeness review



Eligible Project Types

- Projects must yield multiple benefits and include one of the benefit types listed in Section III.C. of the Guidelines (page 16)
 - Section II.C. of the PSP (page 8) provides additional detail
- Projects must be part of an adopted IRWM Plan
- All Project Proponents must adopt IRWM Plan



Table 1 – Overview of Selected IRWM Plan Standards

- All applicants must complete Table 1
- Responses must not exceed six pages
- Demonstrates progress towards adopting an IRWM Plan that meets the IRWM Plan Standards in Appendix C of the 2012 Guidelines



Adopted Plan and Proof of Formal Adoption (Attach 2)

- Must submit proof of formal adoption (i.e., a signature page with dates of signature)
- This includes proof that newly listed projects have been vetted through the IRWM process
- All Project Proponents must adopt IRWM Plan
 - Must be prior to award date



Consent Form (Attach 12)

- Only necessary if the proposal is utilizing an IRWM Plan that was adopted before September 30, 2008
- Sign and submit a hard copy
- Signing a consent form, the IRWM Group acknowledges:
 - Binding agreement with DWR
 - Failure to update the plan within two years of agreement execution may result in revoking grant funding



Authorization and Eligibility Requirements (Attachment 1)

- Authorization
 - Applicant must provide a resolution (PSP page 17)
 - If unable to supply at time of application, then include expected submittal date
- Eligibility
 - Local agencies or non-profit organizations
 - Project(s) must be consistent with IRWM
 - Provide Proof
 - Project(s) part of Adopted Plan or
 - Projects vetted through IRWM process



Disadvantaged Community Assistance (Attach 10)

- Only required if proposal includes a project which addresses critical water supply or quality need of a DAC
- Expanded Funding Match Waiver Eligibility for DACs
 - Available for projects that provide any benefit to DAC



GWMP, AB 1420 and Water Meter Compliance (Attach 11)

- Proponents with projects that affect groundwater levels or quality must submit GWMP Self Certification Form:
 - <http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/resourceslinks.cfm>
- Proponents that are urban water supplier must submit AB 1420 and Water Meter Compliance Self Certification Forms:
 - <http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/resourceslinks.cfm>
 - <http://www.water.ca.gov/wateruseefficiency/finance/>
- Submit only one hard copy, wet signed per proponent



IRWM Plan – Reduce Delta Water Dependence (Attach 13)

- For IRWM Regions receiving water from the Sac-SJ Delta, provide summary text to:
 - Identify & include portions of the IRWM Plan that demonstrate reduced dependence on Sac-SJ Delta water supply
 - Provide assurances that revisions to the plan will continue to help reduce dependence on the Sac-SJ Delta water supply.
- Summary must be no more than five pages



Proposal Evaluation

Attachments

Attachment #	Attachment Name	Points Available
3	Work Plan	15
4	Budget	5
5	Schedule	5
6	Monitoring, Assessment, and Performance Measures	5
7	Technical Justification of Projects	10
8	Benefits and Cost Analysis	30
9	Program Preferences	10
	Maximum available points w/o tiebreaker (see PSP Table 5, page 26)	80



Work Plan (Attach 3)

- Introduction – goals and objectives
- Proposed work – Detailed tasks explaining each step in the process (including permitting, CEQA)
- Tasks must be consistent with Attachments 4 (Budget) and 5 (Schedule)
- Maps and figures
- See Exhibit A (pages 31- 34) for detailed guidance




Budget (Attach 4)

- Consistent with Work Plan & Schedule
- Support anticipated costs with documentation
 - Bids, receipts, personnel classification and hourly rate
- Assume October 1, 2013 as the grant award date
- See Exhibit B (pages 35-39) for detailed guidance
- See 2012 Guidelines (page 33) for guidance on eligible costs



Schedule (Attach 5)

- Schedule by task
- Be consistent with Work Plan and Budget
- Include realistic timelines for permitting, CEQA
- See PSP page 20 for guidance



Monitoring Assess & Perform Measures (Attach 6)

- Project goals
- Desired outcomes
- Targets
 - Measurable
 - Feasible
 - Meet during life of project(s)
- Performance indicators
- Measurement tools and methods
- See PSP page 21 for guidance



Technical Justification of Projects (Attach 7)

- Describe Project Benefits
 - Provide a summary of Physical Benefits
 - PSP pages 40-41
 - Where appropriate, Annual Physical Benefits
 - PSP pages 41-42
- Provide Technical Justification
 - Technical basis for the project
 - Capability of yielding physical benefits claimed
 - Other supporting documentation

Attach 7 – Table 9

Table 9 – Annual Project Physical Benefits			
Project Name: _____			
Type of Benefit Claimed: _____			
Measure of Benefit Claimed (Name of Units): _____			
Additional Information About this Measure- _____			
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
	Physical Benefits		
Year	Without Project	With Project	Change Resulting from Project (b) – (c)
2012			
2013			
2014			
Etc.			
Last Year of Project Life			
Comments:			



Tech Justification of Projects

- Evaluation based on the following:
 - Technical analysis
 - Alternative analysis
 - State of project development
 - Supporting documentation
 - Feasibility studies, modeling results, survey results
- Physical benefits must be consistent with Benefits and Costs Analysis (Attachment 8)



Program Preferences (Attach 9)

- How proposal assists in meeting Program Preferences and Statewide Priorities (2012 Guidelines Page 12-13)
- Claimed program preferences clearly result of implementing project
- Document the breadth and magnitude
- Program Preference and Funding Target require demonstration that a project meets a critical water supply or water quality need of a DAC
 - Examples of projects provided in Table 9 of 2012 Guidelines




Benefits and Cost Analysis (Attach 8)

- General principles
 - Evaluate with and without Project
 - Use consistent assumptions for all projects
 - Physical benefits need to be consistent with those identified in Attachment 7
 - Be consistent with historical record
 - Include all costs, not just grant funded portion



Benefits and Cost Analysis

- General principles cont.
 - Analysis Period (planning horizon) must equal construction period plus project life
 - Use 6 percent to discount future real costs, benefits
 - Show all costs and benefits in year 2012 dollars
 - Real costs or benefits can trend over time if documented
 - Don't double count





Section D1 – Cost Effectiveness

- Available for:
 - Small, non-DAC projects with Total Project Cost (TPC) less than \$300k
 - DAC projects with TPC up to \$1M
- Evaluates whether the physical benefits are being provided at the least possible cost
- Table 11 – Statement of Cost-Effectiveness
 - PSP, page 45
- Applicants may not split a single project into multiple smaller components or phases to be eligible for D1



Section D2 – Non-Monetized

- Table 12 – Non-Monetized Benefits Checklist
- Community/Social
 - Education, technology, recreation, conflict resolution, health and safety
- Environmental Stewardship
 - Those not quantified in Attachment 7 or D3
- Sustainability
 - Reduce unsustainable use, permanence, reduced uncertainty



Section D2 cont.

- Don't double-count with benefits reported in D3 or D4
- Compare to without-project future
- Don't operate project in different ways at the same time to obtain multiple benefits
- Transfers to a local area from other Californians are not State benefits
- Documentation using historical documents is best



Section D3 – Monetized

- Benefits principles:
 - Monetary benefits are willingness-to-pay less all associated costs, but not project costs
 - For most goods, willingness-to-pay is price
 - Cost savings are generally benefits unless the cost savings are transfers among Californians
 - Net revenues and avoided damages are generally benefits
 - Do not include employment and income changes as economic benefits in Section D3



Section D3 - Ways to Estimate Water Supply Benefits

- Reduced or avoided cost of an existing supply (Table 15, page 51)
- Increased net revenue from water sales allowed if any changes in sales elsewhere in California are assessed (Table 15)
- Value of reduced shortage cost if other supplies are not available without the project (Table 15)
- Avoided cost of alternative project (Table 16)



Other Common Section D3 Benefits

- Reduced non-point source pollution from stormwater
- Reduced erosion
- Stream habitat benefits from reduced sediment/chemical load
- Habitat and recreation benefits associated with land use



Other Common Section D3

Benefits cont.

- Avoided cost of another project or action that provides similar benefit
- Water treatment or wastewater treatment cost savings
- Reduced sediment management costs
- Revealed willingness to pay
- Salinity reduction benefits



Section D4 – Flood Damage Reduction (FDR)

- Flood damage reduction based on existing and planned development.
- Do not claim flood damage reduction for future land development in floodplain enabled by the project.
- Must include valid hydrologic design parameters
- Include the full range of events in which the project provides benefits



Section D4 cont.

- Avoided Costs
 - Replacement (buildings, contents, and infrastructure)
 - Emergency response
 - Loss of use
 - Clean-up
 - Land restoration
- Expected annual damage (EAD) reflects:
 - Dollar damage for possible events
 - Probability of the events
 - Probability of structural failure (if applicable)

Section D4: Example EAD Calculation

EAD is NOT the sum of the exceedance Prs times the event damages.

EAD is the sum of the interval Prs times the average damage in each interval summed over the intervals

Exceedance Probability	Event Damages		Reduction in Event Damages
	Without Project	With Project	
10.0%	\$100,000	\$0	\$100,000
6.7%	\$300,000	\$0	\$300,000
5.0%	\$600,000	\$0	\$600,000
4.0%	\$800,000	\$800,000	\$0

$$\text{EAD}(\$000) = (0.1 - 0.067) * (300 + 100) / 2 + (.067 - .05) * (600 + 300) / 2 + (.05 - .04) * (0 + 600) / 2$$

Interval probabilities

Average change in damages over interval



Section D5 – Project Benefits and Cost Summary

- Table 19 must be completed for each project
- Table 19 provides all economic costs
- Table 20 – Proposal Benefits and Costs Summary
 - Provides a summary of the entire proposal including net present value of costs and quantified benefits



Section D5: Table 19

- Cost to be included
 - All capital, O&M, and future replacement
 - All associated costs (needed to achieve benefits)
 - Include current market value (opportunity cost) of any resources (land, volunteer labor) committed to the project, even if they were purchased in the past
- If there is no current market value (sunk cost) do not include it



Attachment 8 Scoring Criteria

- Maximum score 30 points
- Based on the magnitude of all benefits relative to all costs, and quality of analysis.
- Magnitude includes both monetized and non-monetized benefits
- Are the costs and benefits claimed supported with clear and complete documentation?



Attach 8 Scoring Criteria cont.

- Is the benefit analysis appropriate considering the size of the project and the type of benefit claimed?
- Points may be reduced if the benefits described could readily be quantified in dollar terms and the applicant did not monetize the benefits.



BMS/GRanTS

BMS/GRanTS

Bond Management System (BMS)/Grants Review and Tracking System (GRanTS)

LINKS

- Sign In
- For information regarding BMS/GRanTS, please call (888) 907-4267 or email GRanTSadmin@water.ca.gov

BMS GRANTS

What is BMS/GRanTS? | BMS/GRanTS Vision | Getting Started | Help

DWR staff can visit the Project Services Office website at <https://psso.water.ca.gov/> for training guide information.

NEW! The [BMS Public User Guide](#) provides step-by-step instructions for using BMS/GRanTS. Answers to common questions can be found in the [Frequently Asked Questions](#).

View the [Registration](#) and [Completing a Grant Application](#) videos for additional help. *NOTE: These v updated to match the recent changes in the system.*

Still need help? Contact a BMS/GRanTS Administrator with your questions at (888) 907-4267 or GRanTSadmin@water.ca.gov.

Help Desk Contact Info

Login BMS

SIGN IN

<http://www.water.ca.gov/bms/#>

BMS website: www.water.ca.gov/bms (use Internet Explorer)

Note: BMS changing its name to **G**rants **R**eview **a**nd **T**racking **S**ystem (**GRanTS**)



BMS/GRanTS

- Login BMS- Click on New Solicitations link
- Select “Prop 84 Round 2 Implementation PSP” from the PSPs list
- Prop 84 Application includes four Tabs:
 - Application Information
 - Projects
 - Applicant Information Question
 - Application Attachments
- All Tabs must be completed, saved and submitted

BMS/GRanTS



Department of Water Resources

Bond Management System

[Home](#) [PSPs](#) [Projects](#) [Contracts](#) [Organization Admin](#)

[Home](#) [My Profile](#)

[Applicant Info](#)

[Projects](#)

[Applicant Information Question Tab](#)

[Application Attachments Tab](#)

Applicant Information

APPLICANT INFORMATION

Organization Name	Please Select ▼ *
Tax ID	
Point Of Contact *	<input type="radio"/> Existing Registered Users <input type="radio"/> Add New User
Proposal Name	
Proposal Objective	

BUDGET

Other Contribution	
Local Contribution	

Note: PSP pages 12-17 (Table 4 – Grant Applicant Checklist)

BMS/GRanTS – Saving Proposal

Q23. ELIGIBILITY

List the groundwater users that will receive funding from the proposed grant. Please provide the agency/organization address. If there are none, please indicate so.

Maximum Character Limit: 4000

test

Q24. ELIGIBILITY

Have all of the groundwater users, listed in Q23 above, met the requirements of DWR's CASGEM Program: <http://www.water.ca.gov/groundwater/casgem/> ? If not, explain and provide the anticipated date for meeting the requirements. Answer "NA" if no groundwater users identified in Q23 above.

Maximum Character Limit: 4000

test

First "Save" and then
"Submit"

Save

Back

Submit

For information regarding the BMS please call (888) 907-4267 or email bmsadmin@water.ca.gov.

BMS/GRanTS – Saving Proposal

The screenshot shows the 'Applicant Information' form in the BMS/GRanTS system. A red oval highlights a white modal box with the message 'The Proposal has been Saved Successfully.' and an 'OK' button. The form fields are as follows:

APPLICANT INFORMATION			
Organization Name	BMS Training Organizat		
Tax ID	951475555		
Point Of Contact *	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Existing Registered U Kristyne VanSike		
Division/Address List:	Grant Administration		
Address1:	901 P Street	Address2:	
City:	Sacramento	State:	CA
Zip:	95814		
First Name:	Kristyne	Last Name:	VanSike
Title:		Phone (Direct):	(916) 555121
Email:	kris4real4@att.net		

Note:

If you do not see this message, your proposal has not been saved in BMS.
Call BMS help desk if you don't see this message.

BMS/GRanTS

Full View/Print Application

Applicant Info Projects Applicant Information Question Tab Application Attachments Tab

Full View

Applicant Information

APPLICANT INFORMATION			
Organization Name	BMS Training Organization *		
Tax ID	951475555		
Point Of Contact *	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Existing Registered Users <input type="radio"/> Add New User		
	Kristyne VanSike *		
Division/Address List:	Grant Administration		
Address1:	901 P Street	Address2:	
City:	Sacramento	State:	CA
Zip:	95814		
First Name:	Kristyne	Last Name:	VanSike
Title:		Phone (Direct):	(916) 5551212
Email:	kris4real4@att.net		
Proposal Name	Testing P1E Proposal in BMS		

ps://www.bms.water.ca.gov/BMS/Agency/SubmitProposal.aspx?Mode=Edit#

* Maximum Character Limit: 150

Full View of Application

BMS/GRanTS – Printing Application

Proposal Full View

Applicant Information

Organization Name
Tax ID
Proposal Name
Proposal Objective

BMS Training Organization *
951475555
Testing P1E Proposal
test *

Print

Print Application

Budget

Other Contribution
Local Contribution
Federal Contribution
Inkind Contribution
Amount Requested
Total Project Cost

\$0.00
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$0.00

Geographic Information

Latitude *
Longitude *
Longitude/Latitude Clarification
County
Ground Water Basin
Hydrologic Region
Watershed

DD(+/-)1 MM0 SS0
DD(+/-)1 MM0 SS0

Location
Humboldt *
Alturas Area-Warm Springs Valley
Colorado River

Legislative Information



BMS/GRanTS

Application Information Tab

- General information
- Applies to applicant and overall proposal
- Overall Proposal budget
 - Total grant amount requested, funding match, in-kind contribution, etc.
- Geographic Information
- Legislative Information

BMS/GRanTS – Applicant Info



Department of Water Resources

Bond Management System

Home PSPs Projects Contracts Organization Admin

Home My Profile

Applicant Info

Projects

Applicant Information Question Tab

Application Attachments Tab

Applicant Information

APPLICANT INFORMATION

Organization Name

Tax ID

Point Of Contact *

Proposal Name

Proposal Objective

Select: Existing Registered Users

Please Select

☐ Existing Registered Users

☐ Add New User

Do Not Select: Add New Users

BUDGET

Other Contribution

Local Contribution

BMS/GRanTS – Applicant Info

Applicant Info Projects Applicant Information Question Tab Application Attachments Tab

Applicant Information “Existing Registered User” Selected

APPLICANT INFORMATION

Organization Name	BMS Training Organization *		
Tax ID	951475555		
Point Of Contact *	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Existing Registered Users <input type="radio"/> Add New User Kristyne VanSlike *		
Division/Address List: Grant Administration			
Address1:		901 P Street	Address2:
City:		Sacramento	State:
Zip:		95814	
First Name:		Kristyne	Last Name:
Title:			Phone (Direct):
Email:		kris4real4@att.net	
Proposal Name	Testing P1E Proposal in BMS * Maximum Character Limit: 150		

* See PSP Page 12 on Point of Contact.

* Please Contact BMS Help Desk with any questions.



BMS/GRanTS – Projects Tab

- Detailed information about the project(s) contained in the Proposal
 - project name, project objectives, project budget, etc
- Each Project in the Proposal should be detailed on a separate Project
- You may generate as many Projects as are necessary
 - PSP Pages 13-14

BMS/GRanTS – Projects Tab

The screenshot shows the BMS/GRanTS interface with the 'Projects' tab selected. The tab bar at the top includes 'Applicant Info', 'Projects', 'Applicant Information Question Tab', and 'Application Attachments Tab'. The 'Projects' tab is highlighted with a red circle. Below the tab bar, the 'Projects' section header is visible, followed by a blue 'Add Project' button. A red arrow points from the 'Add Project' button to the 'Add New' link, which is also circled in red. The main content area below the header is empty, displaying 'No Records Found' in a box.

Projects	Add Project
Add New	
No Records Found	

BMS/GRanTS – Projects Tab


Applicant Info Projects Applicant Information Question Tab Application Attachments Tab

Projects

[Add New](#)

Saved Project

Add New Project

Project Name	Total Project Cost	Delete
Test	0	

BMS/GRanTS – Projects Tab

Project Description (Maximum Character Limit: 2000 characters)			
Project Objective (Maximum Character Limit: 500 characters)			
PROJECT BENEFITS INFORMATION			
Add Benefit			
ADD BENEFIT TYPE			
Benefit Level	--Select One-- ▼	Benefit Type	--Select One-- ▼
	Description	<input type="text"/>	Measurement
		<input type="button" value="Save Benefit"/>	<input type="button" value="Cancel"/>

*Please **Do NOT** enter any information in “Project Benefits Information”

BMS/GRanTS – Projects Tab

[Home](#) [PSPs](#) [Projects](#) [Contracts](#) [Organization Admin](#)

[Home](#) | [My Profile](#) |

Applicant Info

Projects

Applicant Information Question Tab

Application Attachments Tab

Project Information

Project Name (Maximum Character Limit: 125 characters)

Implementing Organization

--Select Implementing Organization--

Secondary Implementing Organization (Maximum Character Limit: 125 characters)

Proposed Start Date

- Select a date -



Proposed End Date

- Select a date -



Character Limit: 125

Scope Of Work (Maximum Character Limit: 500 characters)

Project Description (Maximum Character Limit: 2000 characters)

Project Objective (Maximum Character Limit: 500 characters)

BMS/GRanTS – Projects Tab

Home PSPs Review **Projects** Contracts

Home My Profile


Applicant Info **Projects** Section 1: General Info Section 2.1.1 - Adv Mit (IRT) Section 2.1.2 - Adv Mit (Other) 3.1.1 - Adv Cons (approved NCCP)

Full View

Project Information

Project Name (Maximum Character Limit: 125 characters)	<input type="text"/>
Implementing Organization	--Select Implementing Organization--
Secondary Implementing Organization (Maximum Character Limit: 125 characters)	<input type="text"/>
Proposed Start Date	- Select a date -
Proposed End Date	- Select a date -
Scope Of Work (Maximum Character Limit: 500 characters)	<div>Test 2012Test 2012Test 2012Test 2012Test 2012Test 2012Test 2012Test 2012Test 2012Test 2012Test 2012Test 2012Test 2012Test 2012</div>

Message from webpage

 Maximum Character limit for Scope of Work is 125.

OK



BMS/GRanTS – Applicant Information and Questions Tab

- Used in processing the application and determining eligibility
- All 24 questions must be answered
 - Note: Answer “NA” if a question does not apply to your proposal
- Note the character limit for each question



BMS/GRanTS – Application Attachments Tab

- 13 attachments
 - all attachments are mandatory(see PSP pages 16 – 24)
- Document name:
 - use the naming convention found in Section V of the PSP
- MS Word, MS Excel, MS Project, or PDF
- Upload limit: 50 MB for each file
 - limit each file size to 20 MB for speed of upload

BMS/GRanTS – Attach Tab

Applicant Info Projects Applicant Information Question Tab **Application Attachments Tab**

APPLICATION ATTACHMENTS

ATTACHMENT 1: AUTHORIZATION AND ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

Upload Authorization and Eligibility documentation here. Ensure file name is correct.

? Max file size: 50 MB per file. Up to five files can be uploaded to this upload field. Max file name: 50 characters.

(Upload Limit: 50 MB each). File Name Limit:50 Characters. Last Uploaded Attachments :

<input type="text"/>	Select	✖ Remove
----------------------	--------	----------

Add

To delete one or more uploaded files, check the 'Delete' check-box(s) next to the file name(s) and click Save

Upload additional Authorization and Eligibility documentation here, if necessary.

Max file size: 50 MB per file. Up to five files can be uploaded to this upload field. Max file name: 50 characters.

(Upload Limit: 50 MB each). File Name Limit:50 Characters. Last Uploaded Attachments :

<input type="text"/>	Select	✖ Remove
----------------------	--------	----------

Add


First upload field is mandatory

Additional upload fields are optional

BMS/GRanTS – Attach Tab

ATTACHMENT 1: AUTHORIZATION AND ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

 **Upload authorization and eligibility documentation here. This field is mandatory.**

(Upload Limit: 50 MB each). File Name Limit:50 Characters. Last Uploaded Attachments :

File Name	Delete
1) Att1_IG_Eligible_1 of Total 5.pdf	<input type="checkbox"/>
2) Att1_IG_Eligible_2 of Total 5.pdf	<input type="checkbox"/>
3) Att1_IG_Eligible_3 of Total 5.pdf	<input type="checkbox"/>
4) Att1_IG_Eligible_4 of Total 5.pdf	<input type="checkbox"/>
5) Att1_IG_Eligible_5 of Total 5.pdf	<input type="checkbox"/>


up to 5 files can be
uploaded to each upload
field

To delete one or more uploaded files, check the 'Delete' check-box(s)
next to the file name(s) and click Save

Upload additional authorization and eligibility documentation here, if necessary.



(Upload Limit: 50 MB each). File Name Limit:50 Characters. Last Uploaded Attachments :

 Remove

To delete one or more uploaded files, check the 'Delete' check-box(s)
next to the file name(s) and click Save

ATTACHMENT 2: ADOPTED PLAN AND PROOF OF FORMAL ADOPTION

BMS/GRanTS – Saving Proposal

The screenshot shows the 'Applicant Information' form in the BMS/GRanTS system. A red oval highlights a white modal box with the message 'The Proposal has been Saved Successfully.' and an 'OK' button. The form fields are as follows:

APPLICANT INFORMATION			
Organization Name	BMS Training Organizat		
Tax ID	951475555		
Point Of Contact *	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Existing Registered U		
	Kristyne VanSkiye		
Division/Address List:	Grant Administration		
Address1:	901 P Street	Address2:	
City:	Sacramento	State:	CA
Zip:	95814		
First Name:	Kristyne	Last Name:	VanSkiye
Title:		Phone (Direct):	(916) 555121
Email:	kris4real4@att.net		

Note: If you do not see this message, your proposal has not been saved in BMS. Call BMS help desk if you don't see this message.

BMS/GRanTS - Submitting Proposal

Q23. ELIGIBILITY

List the groundwater users that will receive funding from the proposed grant. Please provide the agency address. If there are none, please indicate so.
Maximum Character Limit: 4000

test

Q24. ELIGIBILITY

Have all of the groundwater users, listed in Q23, been identified in the project? If not, please provide the URL to the project's CASGEM Project page. <http://www.water.ca.gov/groundwater/casgem/> ? If not, please provide the URL to the project's CASGEM Project page. If no URL is provided, the project will be considered ineligible for funding.
Answer "NA" if no groundwater users identified in Q23 above.
Maximum Character Limit: 4000

test

The Proposal is Submitted Successfully.

OK

Save Back Submit

For information regarding the BMS please call (888) 907-4267 or email bmsadmin@water.ca.gov.

Note: If you do not see this message, your proposal has not been saved in BMS. Call BMS help desk if you don't see this message.



BMS/GRanTS – Assistance

- Contact BMS/GRanTS administration:
- phone: (888) 907-4267
- e-mail : grantsadmin@water.ca.gov



Solicitation Schedule

- November 29, 2012 – Final 2012 Guidelines and Round 2 PSP released
- January 17, 2013 – BMS/GRanTS application available
- February 12 and 14, 2013 - Applicant Workshops
- March 29, 2013 – 5:00 p.m. - Applications Due
- August 2013 (tentative) - Draft funding recommendations/public comment period
- October 2013 (tentative) – Final Awards announced



Submittal

- Electronically - DWR's Bond Management System (BMS)/GRanTS:
<http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/bms.cfm>
- Four (4) hard copies
- Electronic and four hard copies received by DWR before 5 p.m., March 29, 2013



Contacts

- Keith Wallace: (916) 651-9624
- Email questions to: DWR_IRWM@water.ca.gov
- Prop 84 Implementation Grant Program Website:
<http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/implementation.cfm>

Questions & Answers

Applications must be received by DWR before 5 p.m.,
March 29, 2013